	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/090,507	SELL, JOHN V.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peter-Anthony Pappas	2671
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Peter-Anthony Pappas</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Mark Zagorin</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 12 November 2004	Time: <u>3 <i>PM</i></u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
1-2,4,10,18,22,24,26,28-31		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Greene et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5, 579, 455)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
The Office proposed an examiner's amendment to put the prese proposed changes was not achieved and instead it was agreed		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a writton record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
		•
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)
(Applicat	INTERPRETABLE STREET	ועוומנטוט – וו מאאוטאוומנקן